2-Cyanoimino-5,5-diphenyl-4-imidazolinone Monohydrate

LÉON DUPONT

Unité de Cristallographie, Institut de Physique B5, Université de Liège au Sart Tilman, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

BERNARD MASEREEL

Laboratoire de Chimie Pharmaceutique, Institut de Pharmacie F1, Université de Liège, Rue Fusch 5, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

DIDIER LAMBERT

Laboratoire de Chimie Pharmaceutique, Ecole de Pharmacie, Université Catholique de Louvain, Avenue E. Mounier 73, CMFA/73.40, B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium

GERHARD SCRIBA

Institut für Pharmazeutische Chemie, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Hittorfstrasse 58-62, D-48149 Münster, Germany

(Received 15 December 1994; accepted 3 February 1995)

Abstract

The title compound has anticonvulsant properties. In crystals of $C_{16}H_{12}N_4O.H_2O$, its molecular structure is similar to that of phenytoin, an antiepileptic drug. The phenyl-phenyl angle is 101.05 (6)°. The imidazolinone cycle is planar and contains the cyanoimino moiety. The cohesion of the crystal is the result of van der Waals interactions and of four hydrogen bonds, three of which involve the water molecule.

Comment

The title compound, (I), is a bioisostere of phenytoin [diphenylhydantoin (DPH): 5,5-diphenyl-2,4-imidazolidinedione], an antiepileptic drug (Rogawski & Porter, 1990), the structure of which has been described previously (Camerman & Camerman, 1971). The molecule differs from DPH in that the urea moiety of the



©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

hydantoin ring is replaced with a cyanoguanidine function. Its synthesis and anticonvulsant properties will be published elsewhere.

The two phenyl rings are planar [maximum deviation of the ring atoms from the best plane through each ring is 0.003 (2) Å], as is the five-atom ring [maximum deviation -0.017(1)Å] with O1 and N3 situated 0.075 (3) and 0.081 (3) Å from the plane through the five atoms. The conformation of $C_{16}H_{12}N_4O$ is similar to that of DPH. The angles between the normals to planes are: heterocycle-phenyl(C5-C10) 113.28 (7); heterocycle-phenyl(C11-C16) 125.28 (7); phenyl-phenyl 101.05 (6)° (the respective angles in DPH are 113, 114 and 90°). The geometric parameters that may be related to the biological activity according to Camerman & Camerman (1970) are the distances between the centroids of the phenyl rings (X) and the O-atom position: X1(C5-C10)···O1 4.041 (2), X2(C11-C16)···O1 4.216 (2), $X1 \cdots X2$ 4.832 (2) Å. (3.968, 4.227 and 4.835 Å, respectively, in DPH). The 2-cyanoimino moiety is almost in the heterocyclic plane [N1-C1-N3-C2 $-1.3(3)^{\circ}$].

The 2-cyanoimino-5,5-diphenyl-4-imidazolinone and water molecules are linked by a system of four hydrogen bonds: N1—H1···O2ⁱ [N1···O2ⁱ 2.834 (2), H1···O2ⁱ 1.99 Å, N1—H1···O2ⁱ 165°; symmetry code: (i) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, 1 - z]; O2—H2A···N3 [O2···N3 2.949 (2), H2A···N3 2.02 Å, O2—H2A···N3 [O2···N3 2.949 (2), H2A···N3 2.02 Å, O2—H2A···N3 174°]; N2—H2···N4ⁱⁱ [N2···N4ⁱⁱ 3.017 (2), H2···N4ⁱⁱ 2.18 Å, N2—H2···N4ⁱⁱ 166°; symmetry code: (ii) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, 1 - z]; O2—H2B···O1ⁱⁱⁱ [O2···O1ⁱⁱⁱ 2.861 (2), H2B···O1ⁱⁱⁱ 2.29 Å, O2—H2B···O1ⁱⁱⁱ 124°; symmetry code: (iii) 2 - x, -y, 1 - z].



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound with atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995

$C_{16}H_{12}N_{4}O.H_{2}O$

Experimental Crystals of the title compound were obtained at the Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry, Liège; the synthesis will be reported elsewhere.		C11	0.7639 (2)	0.2945 ($\begin{array}{c} 2) & 0.1050(2) \\ 2) & 0.0234(2) \end{array}$	0.0464 (5)
		C12 C13 C C13 C C14 C C15 C C16 C	0.7880 (2) 0.7230 (3) 0.6313 (2) 0.6062 (2) 0.6713 (2)	0.2103 (0.2087 (0.2903 (0.3739 (0.3765 ($\begin{array}{cccc} 2) & -0.034(2) \\ 2) & -0.0902(2) \\ 2) & -0.1230(2) \\ 2) & -0.0429(2) \\ 2) & 0.0704(2) \\ (15) & 0.21717(2) \end{array}$	0.0702 (7) 0.0797 (7) 0.0648 (6) 0.0626 (6) 0.0550 (5)
Crystal data		NI N2	0.76165 (13)	0.32031	$\begin{array}{c} (13) & 0.31717(13) \\ (14) & 0.36583(14) \end{array}$	0.0466 (4)
$C_{16}H_{12}N_4O.H_2O$ $M_r = 294.31$ Monoclinic	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å Cell parameters from 37	N3 N4 O1 O2	0.71989 (14) 0.5932 (2) 0.96777 (13) 0.84873 (14)	0.21304 0.3777 (0.11880 0.05654	(15) 0.48504 (14) 2) 0.5463 (2) (14) 0.23558 (13) (13) 0.67803 (13)	0.0533 (5) 0.0643 (5) 0.0625 (4) 0.0628 (4)
$P2_1/a$	reflections					
a = 11.576 (2) A b = 10.6933 (7) Å c = 11.582 (2) Å	$\theta = 34.12 - 41.99^{-1}$ $\mu = 0.782 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Driven	Ta C1—N3	able 2. Selec	cted geom	etric parameters (C5—C6	(Å, °) 1.386 (3)
$\beta = 101.448 (9)^{\circ}$ $V = 1405 1 (3) Å^{3}$	$0.42 \times 0.38 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$	C1—N1 C1—N2		1.315 (2) 1.366 (2)	C6—C7 C7—C8	1.384 (3) 1.373 (3)
Z = 4 $D_x = 1.391 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Colourless	C2—N4 C2—N3 C3—N1 C3—C11		1.157 (3) 1.330 (3) 1.465 (2) 1.535 (3)	C8C9 C9C10 C11C12 C11C16 C12C12	1.372 (3) 1.386 (3) 1.376 (3) 1.381 (3)
Data collection Stoe Siemens AED four- circle diffractometer ω scans	$R_{int} = 0.0215$ $\theta_{max} = 58.94^{\circ}$ $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$	C3—C5 C3—C4 C4—O1 C4—N2 C5—C10		1.537 (3) 1.538 (3) 1.208 (2) 1.360 (2) 1.382 (3)	C12—C13 C13—C14 C14—C15 C15—C16	1.363 (3) 1.368 (3) 1.361 (3) 1.379 (3)
Absorption correction: ψ scans (<i>EMPIR</i> ; Stoe & Cie, 1987 <i>b</i>) $T_{min} = 0.709, T_{max} =$ 0.835 2123 measured reflections 2012 independent reflections 1562 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 12$ 2 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: 5.0%	N3-CI- N3-CI- N1-CI- N1-C3- C11-C3- C11-C3- C11-C3- C1-C3- C1-C4- O1-C4-	-N1 -N2 -N2 -N3 -C11 -C5 -C5 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C4 -C2 -N2 -C3	130.8 (2) 120.2 (2) 109.0 (2) 174.2 (2) 111.38 (15) 111.7 (2) 111.9 (2) 99.77 (15) 112.2 (2) 109.27 (15) 125.5 (2) 127.8 (2)	C7-C6-C5 C8-C7-C6 C9-C8-C7 C8-C9-C10 C5-C10-C9 C12-C11-C16 C12-C11-C3 C16-C11-C3 C11-C12-C13 C14-C13-C12 C15-C14-C13 C14-C15-C16	120.7 (2) 120.4 (2) 119.5 (2) 120.4 (2) 120.7 (2) 118.2 (2) 122.4 (2) 120.8 (2) 120.8 (2) 119.2 (2) 120.8 (2)
Refinement		N2-C4-	-C3 C6	106.7 (2)	C15-C16-C11 C1-N1-C3	120.6 (2)
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0347$	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.140 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.126 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	C10C5- C6C5		121.9 (2) 119.7 (2)	C4—N2—C1 C1—N3—C2	111.6 (2) 118.7 (2)
$wR(F^2) = 0.1025$ S = 1.192 2012 reflections 202 parameters H atoms constrained (riding) except water H atoms (fived)	Extinction correction: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) Extinction coefficient: 0.0142 (9) Atomic scattering factors from International Tables	N1—C3- N1—C3- C11—C3- C5—C3- N1—C3- C11—C3- C4—C3- N1—C3-	-C4O1 -C4N2 -C4N2 -C5C10 -C5C10 -C5C10 -C11C12	- 176.6 (2) 2.2 (2) - 115.8 (2) 119.5 (2) 7.5 (2) 133.2 (2) - 101.9 (2) - 139.1 (2)	N2—C1—N1—C3 C11—C3—N1—C1 C5—C3—N1—C1 C4—C3—N1—C1 C3—C4—N2—C1 N3—C1—N2—C4 N1—C1—N2—C4 N1—C1—N3—C2	-1.2 (2 118.0 (2 -116.1 (2 -0.6 (2 -3.2 (2 -175.1 (2 2.9 (2 -1.3 (3
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0553P)^2 + 0.2671P]$ where $P = (F^2 + 2F^2)/3$	for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and	C5-C3- C4-C3- N3-C1-	-C11C12 -C11C12 -N1C3	95.0 (2) -28.3 (3) 176.5 (2)	N2-C1-N3-C2 N4-C2-N3-C1	176.2 (2 168 (2)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	J.1.1. 7 /	Data collection: DIF4 (Stoe & Cie, 1987a). Cell refinement DIF4. Data reduction: REDI/4 (Stoe & Cie, 1987c). Pro-				

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent

isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)
$$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}.$$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
C1	0.7688 (2)	0.2279 (2)	0.3929 (2)	0.0456 (5)
C2	0.6520 (2)	0.3039 (2)	0.5130(2)	0.0518 (5)
C3	0.8370 (2)	0.3021 (2)	0.2308 (2)	0.0468 (5)
C4	0.8933 (2)	0.1758 (2)	0.2736(2)	0.0490 (5)
C5	0.9337 (2)	0.4023 (2)	0.2421 (2)	0.0483 (5
C6	1.0054 (2)	0.4077 (2)	0.1595 (2)	0.0628 (6)
C7	1.0934 (2)	0.4968 (2)	0.1684 (2)	0.0709 (7)
C8	1.1111 (2)	0.5814 (2)	0.2594 (2)	0.0688 (7
C9	1.0410(2)	0.5768 (2)	0.3419 (2)	0.0694 (6
C10	0.9528 (2)	0.4876 (2)	0.3336 (2)	0.0596 (6)

Data collection: *DIF*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1987a). Cell refinement: *DIF*4. Data reduction: *REDU*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1987c). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93.

The authors thank M. M. Vermeire for his helpful assistance in the diffractometry measurements and the FNRS for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, leastsquares-planes data, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PA1170). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Camerman, A. & Camerman, N. (1970). Science, 168, 1457-1459.

Camerman, A. & Camerman, N. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 2205–2211. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Rogawski, M. A. & Porter, R. J. (1990). Pharmacol. Rev. 42, 223-285.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1987a) DIF4. Diffraction Control Program. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1987b) EMPIR. Empirical Absorption Correction Program. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1987c) REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1903-1905

3-Benzamido-4*H*-pyrido[4,3-*e*]-1,2,4-thiadiazine 1,1-Dioxide

LÉON DUPONT

Unité de Cristallographie, Institut de Physique B5, Université de Liège au Sart Tilman, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

PASCAL DE TULLIO, BERNARD PIROTTE, BERNARD MASEREEL AND JACQUES DELARGE

Laboratoire de Chimie Pharmaceutique, Institut de Pharmacie F1, Université de Liège, Rue Fusch 5, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

(Received 10 January 1995; accepted 17 February 1995)

Abstract

The title compound, $C_{13}H_{10}N_4O_3S$, is an original drug developed as a structural analogue of the antiinflammatory agent piroxicam. It is also structurally related to diazoxide, an antihypertensive compound. The crystal structure determination shows that the 4*H* (rather than 2*H*) tautomeric form is preferentially adopted by this pyridothiadiazine derivative in the solid state.

Comment

3-Benzamido-4*H*-pyrido[4,3-*e*]-1,2,4-thiadiazine 1,1dioxide is an original drug developed as a structural analogue of the anti-inflammatory agent piroxicam (4hydroxy-2-methyl-*N*-(2-pyridyl)-2*H*-1,2-benzothiazine-3carboxamide 1,1-dioxide). Moreover, the compound may be regarded as an acyl derivative of recently reported 3-alkylaminopyridothiadiazine dioxides (Pirotte *et al.*, 1993), known to be strong activators of the pancreatic ATP-sensitive potassium channel and structurally related to diazoxide [7-chloro-3-methyl-2H(or 4H)-1,2,4-benzo-thiadiazine 1,1-dioxide]. The particular interest of the present crystallographic study is to demonstrate which is the preferential tautomeric form adopted by the acyl derivative in the solid state: the 4H form (1) or the 2H form (2).



The values of the torsion angles show that the molecule is almost planar except for the phenyl moiety which is twisted by ca 40° [N11-C12-C13-C14 -141.0 (2)°] with respect to the rest of the molecule. There is an intramolecular hydrogen bond: $N4 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3 \ 2.643(2)$, $H4 \cdots O3 1.92$ Å, $N4 - H4 \cdots O3 132^{\circ}$. The cohesion of the crystal is the result of van der Waals interactions and of one intermolecular hydrogen bond: N11...N8ⁱ 2.908 (2), H11····N8ⁱ 1.99 Å, N11—H11····N8ⁱ 175° [symmetry code: (i) $-\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{3}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$]. The N2—C3 and N4-C3 bond lengths, the location of the H atom on N4 rather than on N2, and the hydrogen-bonding scheme, including the N4-H4...O3 intramolecular hydrogen bond, indicate that the 4H form rather than the 2H form is favoured in the crystal, confirming previous results obtained for the diazoxide (Bandoli & Nicolini, 1977) and for other thiadiazine derivatives, for example, 3-amino- and 3-tert-butyl-4H-pyrido[4,3-e]-1,2,4-thiadiazine 1,1-dioxide (Dupont, Pirotte, de Tullio, Masereel & Delarge, 1995).



Fig 1. Molecular structure with atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.